

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,019	1	0/28/2003	Arthur Rick Alleman	UF-387	7481
23557	7590	06/20/2006		EXAMINER	
0.121		OYD & SALIWA	NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK		
A PROFESSI PO BOX 142		SSOCIATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GAINESVIL	LE, FL	32614-2950	1645		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		. Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			/696,019	ALLEMAN ET AL.					
			aminer	Art Unit					
			rk Navarro	1645					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply is specified above, the maximum si re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUII In no event, however, may oly and will expire SIX (6) Me the application to become	NICATION. If a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this control (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
2a)□		2b)⊠ This acti	on is non-final						
3)□		•		atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
-,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims		·						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)									
	☐ Claim(s) is are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers		·						
_	The specification is objected to by th	e Evaminer							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		d or h) Ohiected t	to by the Examiner					
۔ تارک،	- · · ·		•	•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,			0 102.				
_	•	for foreign neig	ritu undan 35 H.C.O	(440(a) (d) == (6)					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)ı	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	3. Copies of the certified copies				Ctana				
				an received in this National	Stage				
* 5	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•	and the second columns of the dollar		o continua dopies in	or i docivou.					
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		٠٠. ٠						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) 🔲 Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				
Pape:	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)	·					

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 8-17, drawn to methods of binding an antibody to a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- III. Claims 18, and 26-30, drawn to DNA, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- IV. Claims 19-25, drawn to antibodies, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- V. Claims 31-36, drawn to nucleic acid assays, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- VI. Claim 37, drawn to a method of inducing an immune response, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.

Additionally, Groups I-VI are further restricted in light of MPEP 803.04 which sets forth that biological molecules with distinct sequences are distinct inventions. Accordingly, Applicants are required to select a single sequence for examination. Applicants are reminded that every sequence must have a SEQ ID TAG to be searched (specifically if a single sequence is elected from Table 1, 2 or 3, an appropriate SEQ ID TAG is required).

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I, drawn to polypeptides and Invention III, drawn to DNA are distinct since they are products with different structure and biological properties. The protein is made of amino acids whereas the nucleic acid consists of nucleotides. Further methods

Application/Control Number: 10/696,019

Art Unit: 1645

known in the art used to make the polypeptide require different reagents and parameters from the methods of making the nucleic acid encoding the protein and the method of making the polypeptide does not require the nucleic acid. For instance, the protein can be made by Merrifield chemical synthesis or affinity chromatography.

Invention IV, drawn to an antibody is distinct from Inventions I-III and V-VI since it has an inherent affinity, avidity, and specificity that DNA or a simple protein is not capable of expressing.

Invention II, drawn to methods of binding an antibody to a polypeptide is distinct from Inventions I and III-VI, since it requires additional biological reagents and parameters for measuring binding.

Invention V, drawn to nucleic acid assays is distinct from Inventions I-IV and VI, since it requires additional biological reagents and parameters for measuring hybridization.

Inventions I and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the polypeptide can be used to generate an immune response in vivo as claimed, or alternatively, may be incorporated into an in vitro assay to screen for the presence of antisera.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,019

Art Unit: 1645

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their separate classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Navarro whose telephone number is (571) 272-0861.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Navarro Primary Examiner June 5, 2006